

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,349	01/30/2002		Jean-Marie Badoz	MICROM7	9915
7590 10/30/2003			EXAMINER		
Gary M Coh			WILSON, JOHN J		
Strafford Buil 125 Strafford			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wayne, PA	19102			3732	
				DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)	6						
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)							
ı	Office Action Summany	10/049,349	BADOZ, JEAN-MARIE							
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner *	Art Unit							
	The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	John J. Wilson	3732							
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 C	October 2003 .								
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.								
3)	<u> </u>									
Disposit	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C	D. 11, 433 O.G. 213.							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are pending in the application	on.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.								
	ion Papers									
, —	The specification is objected to by the Examiner									
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep									
441	Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
•	••	priority under 35 LLS C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)							
<i>,</i> —	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		received.							
14) 🗌 🖟	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 										
Attachmen	•									
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_						

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - J5 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsutani (4604884) in view of prior art as disclosed in the present application, see Fig. 1. Matsutani shows a reamer 1 having three flutes shaped in a angled general "S" shape, Figs. 22 and 23, and further teaches that the cross section can be an isosceles triangle, column 7, lines 35-42. Matsutani does not specifically show the curved "S" shape. The disclosed prior art as shown in Fig. 1 of the present application teaches an "S" shape for flutes. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Matsutani to include a curved "S" shape as shown by the disclosed prior art in order to make use of known shapes for flutes to better ream a root canal.

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction to add the label prior art to the figure has been approved.

The substitute drawing sheet having the proposed correction has been found to be acceptable by the examiner.

Art Unit: 3732

Respons to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Further, it is noted that the above combination is proper because there is no disclose criticality to the specific shape of the flutes.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,349

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John Wilson at telephone number (703) 308-2699.

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

Andlin

jjw

October 28, 2003 Fax (703) 872-9306

Work Schedule: Monday through Friday, Flex Time